CTH/562

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

Division Bench

MISCELLANEOUS APPEAL NO. 1022 /2003

APPELLANT
CLAIMANT : Manbodh Satnami, S/o Parasram Satnami, aged 21 years, R/o Village-Sohagpur, Police Station-Sarsiwa, Tahsil Bilaigarh, District Raipur (C.G.)

-VERSUS-

RESPONDENTS :

1. Chandrika Prasad Sahu, S/o Mahettar Sahu, aged 30 years, Occupation-Driver, R/o Sohagpur, Police Station – Sarsiwan, Tahsil Bilaigarh, District Raipur (C.G.)

2. Awadh Ram Sahu, S/o Jaitram Sahu, aged 65 years, R/o Village-Dhobnin, Police Station – Sarsiwan, Tahsil Bilaigarh, District Raipur (C.G.)

3. (a) Deshram, S/o Ramlal Sahu,
   (b) Motiram, S/o Ramlal Sahu,
   (c) Janak, S/o Ramlal Sahu

   All are R/o Village-Bade-Sajapali, Police Station-Basna, District Mahasamund (C.G.)

4. The Oriental Insurance Company of India Ltd. through the Branch Manager, Madina Manzil, Medical College Road, Raipur (C.G.).

P. R. No. 24/2/03
Presented by Shri Sanjay Kumar Choudhary
dated 26/2/03

APPEAL UNDER SECTION 173 OF THE MOTOR VEHICLES ACT, 1988

XI-HC-22

(22)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक M.A. 1022 **सन्** 200 3

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
padma	**02.01.2007** No one appears for the appellant. No one appears for respondents No. 1 to 3. Shri AK Atley, counsel for respondent No.4 / Insurance Company. Once the case has been called out during the course of the day, but for want of prosecution on behalf of the appellant, it was passed over. Now, the case has been called out again, but none appears for the appellant, hence the appeal is dismissed for want of appearance of the appellant. **Sd/-** **V.K. Shrivastava** **Judge**	

(पीछे देखिये)